

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/493,663	01/28/2000	Douglas D. Do	M4065.0225/p225	2516	
24998	7590 07/14/2003				
	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER	
2101 L STR WASHING	EET NW ΓΟΝ, DC 20037-1526			KRAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623		
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	•	09/493,663	DO, DOUGLAS D.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Vikkram Bali	2623			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 v	<u>lune 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the amendment filled on 6/18/2003 all the amendments have been entered and the action follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 12-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addiego (US 5917588).

With respect to the claims 1-4, 12-13 the rejections are maintained and incorporated by references as set forth in the prior office action paper # 3.

3. Claims 5-11, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addiego in view of Levy et al (US 4579455).

With respect to the claims 5-11 and 14-17 the rejections are maintained and incorporated by references as set forth in the prior office action paper # 3.

Art Unit: 2623

Claims 19-39 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth for the claims 1-18, because claims 19-39 are claiming subject matter as claimed in claims 1-18.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks applicant argues that the references fail to disclose "selecting at least two of the plurality of displayed images and deriving a spatial relationship between the selected images" (see page 3 last paragraph). Examiner disagrees with the applicant and would like to point out that the limitations are given their broadest reasonable interpretations. And in the instant case, reference Addiego discloses a wafer inspection system in that it take images and those images are sent to the host computer (see figure 2A), Host computer (see col. 6, lines 20-32), it is obvious that there is a monitor is included in the Host computer that helps the user to inspect the entire process of the inspection. And figure 11 predicts R1, R2, R3, as the images and these images are adjacent to each other i.e. there is a special relationship between them. Also, (see col. 8, lines 59-68), it states that the inspection system acquire the image of the wafer, select the two images, the two images are adjacent "spatial relationship between the two selected images".

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9314 for regular communications and 703.872.9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.306.0377.

Vikkram Bali Examiner Art Unit 2623

vb July 11, 2003

> SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER